

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/657,192 09/09/2003 Taro Yajima 031112	1622		
23850 7590 12/28/2005 EXAMI	NER		
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP MCDONALD, RO	MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN		
1725 K STREET, NW	DARED MINIPED		
SUITE 1000 ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,192	YAJIMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rodney G. McDonald	1753	

		Rodney G. McDonald	1/53	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REF	PLY FILED 12 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. ☐ The this pla a R	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on a application, applicant must timely file one of the follow ces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No dequest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance e periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛚	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
have beer under 37 (set forth ir may reduc	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 is of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excEFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the same above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later are any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
filin	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension otice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🔀 Th (a) (b) (c)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);	
5. 🔲 Ap 6. 🔲 Ne	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) why proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•	•
7. For how The Cla Cla Cla Cla	p-allowable claim(s). purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is provestatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: 13. im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: 1-12 and 14. im(s) withdrawn from consideration: IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
3. 🔲 The bec	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
ente sho	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
REQUES	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior T FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
<u>Se</u>	e request for reconsideration has been considered but the Continuation Sheet.			ice because:
	te the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (her:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	- f. f. o J. McDona	
			Rodney G. McDona Primary Examiner	ld

Art Unit: 1753

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The significant structural features added to claims were not considered in the finally rejected claims. For Example the connection in series.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth above and for the reasons in the final rejection.